Extraction and PCR Amplification of DNA from Soil

By

Hamid Kheyrodin and Khosro Ghazvinian

ISSN 2319-3077 Online/Electronic ISSN 0970-4973 Print

Journal Impact Factor: 4.275 Global Impact factor of Journal: 0.876 Scientific Journals Impact Factor: 3.285 InfoBase Impact Factor: 3.66 Index Copernicus International Value IC Value of Journal 47.86 Poland, Europe

J. Biol. Chem. Research Volume 33 (2) 2016 Pages No. 852-864

Journal of Biological and Chemical Research

An International Peer Reviewed / Referred Journal of Life Sciences and Chemistry

Indexed, Abstracted and Cited in various International and National Scientific Databases

Published by Society for Advancement of Sciences®

J. Biol. Chem. Research. Vol. 33, No. 2: 852-864, 2016 (An International Peer Reviewed / Refereed Journal of Life Sciences and Chemistry) Ms 33/2/135/2016 All rights reserved ISSN 0970-4973 (Print) ISSN 2319-3077 (Online/Electronic)





Dr. Hamid Kheyrodin http://<u>www.sasjournals.com</u> http://<u>www.jbcr.co.in</u> jbiolchemres@gmail.com

REVIEW ARTICLE

Received: 02/08/2016 Revised: 08/11/2016

Accepted: 10/11/2016

Extraction and PCR Amplification of DNA from Soil

Hamid Kheyrodin and *Khosro Ghazvinian

Faculty of Desert Science, Semnan University, Iran *Faculty of Animal Science, Semnan University, Iran

ABSTRACT

A rapid, inexpensive, large-scale DNA extraction method involving minimal purification has been developed that is applicable to various soil types. The results indicate that pooling of several extractions and PCR amplicons will decrease variation among samples. Using the bead beating DNA extraction method described here, crude microbial DNA could be extracted from a variety of soil types and dilution of this DNA was sufficient for successful PCR amplification from both high- and low-copy number genes.

Key words: PCR, Polymerase chain reaction, CTAB, Hexadecyl trimethyl ammonium bromide, BSA and Bovine serum albumin.

INTRODUCTION

The inability to culture most microorganisms from environmental samples is a fundamental obstacle to understanding microbial ecology and diversity. The use of DNA-based techniques can overcome this limitation by allowing the fate of particular genes or organisms to be monitored directly in environmental samples (Hsiang et al. 2012). Techniques to extract DNA from soil and sediment initially used large samples of 100 g. These extracts were usually contaminated with humic acids which interfered with subsequent molecular biological manipulations. Extensive purification steps were then required to successfully amplify a PCR product, including CsCl-ethidium bromide density gradient centrifugation. Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) has rapidly become one of the most widely used techniques in molecular biology and for good reason: it is a rapid, inexpensive and simple means of producing relatively large numbers of copies of DNA molecules from minute quantities of source DNA material--even when the source DNA is of relatively poor quality (Jayme 2014). The polymerase chain reaction (PCR) is a scientific

technique in molecular biology to amplify a single or a few copies of a piece of DNA across several orders of magnitude, generating thousands to millions of copies of a particular DNA sequence. PCR is used to amplify a specific region of a DNA strand (the DNA target). Most PCR methods typically amplify DNA fragments of up to ~10 kilo base pairs (kb), although some techniques allow for amplification of fragments up to 40 kb in size.. PCR is being applied more often to the assay of microorganisms in the environment, including soils.

The simplicity of this technology, together with its potential to detect small numbers of target organisms without a need for the culturing of cells, easily makes it an important method for monitoring pathogens and indicator bacteria Costa et al. (2012) . Despite this potential, technical limitations have continued to limit the large-scale use of PCR with soil samples primarily because extraction techniques have been labor intensive and often unreliable. While, debate regarding the potential for genetic exchange in soils has continued for more than two decades and genetically engineered organisms are being released ever more frequently, the quantification of genetic transfer and our knowledge of the fate of genetic materials in soils remain surprisingly limited. Such questions underline the need to develop more-effective large-scale methods which can be efficiently applied when many samples must be evaluated. Over the last two decades, methods for the extraction of DNA from soil samples for DNA analyses of all types have been markedly improved. For example, Faegri and colleagues (1977), used differential centrifugation followed by lysis of the cells, extraction of the nucleic acid, and purification of the DNA by hydroxyapatite column chromatography (Holben et al., 1983), subsequently modified the procedure by using polyvinylpyrrolidone to remove soil organic matter from the cell preparations and repetitive cesium chloride density gradient centrifugation to purify the DNA. These approaches have been effective, but remain very labor-intensive. Methods also have been developed specifically for use with PCR amplification.

Pillai et al. (1991) developed a method to separate bacterial cells by modified sucrose gradient centrifugation, but again the approach is too labor-intensive for wide-scale application. The direct extraction of DNA from soil organisms without prior purification or culturing clearly would provide an attractive alternative. In this instance, contaminants in the DNA extracts which inhibit PCR amplification and losses of DNA by degradation or adsorption have proven to be the major limitations. Nevertheless, such approaches also have begun to be utilized with various degrees of success. For example, Picard et al. (1992) used sonication, microwave heating, and thermal shocks to disrupt the cells in situ, but three steps of chromatography were required to purify the DNA from contaminants which severely inhibit the PCR. In a similar study Smalla et al. (1993) used cesium chloride for DNA purification, with even better results but again with a protocol that is relatively costly and labor-intensive. In our own efforts to apply PCR diagnostics on a large scale to the detection of the Verticillium wilt pathogen in economically important plants, we have exploited the advantages of direct extraction without a need for DNA purification (Robb et al., 1994). Tissue grinding in liquid nitrogen was combined with a simple sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) buffer-phenol extraction method to provide extracts this could be subjected directly to PCR amplification. In the present study, we have adapted this approach to soil samples, providing for a simple extraction protocol which can be used directly with PCR amplification without additional DNA purification Francis et al. (2012).

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Organisms and plasmids

Three types of DNA were used as targets for PCR amplification: purified *Verticillium dahliae* genomic DNA, an internal control template cloned in pTZ19R (Hu et al., 1993), and purified *V. dahliae* microsclerotia, kindly provided by G. Lazarovits. For genomic DNA, mycelia were grown without light in Czapek's broth Tuite (1969), at 228°C with shaking, and the DNA was extracted by the hexadecyl trimethylammonium bromide (CTAB) method, as previously described (Hu et al., 1993). The plasmid control template DNA was prepared as described by Holmes and Quigley (1981). Both types of DNA were purified further by CsCl density gradient centrifugation (Radloff et al., 1967), and the amount of DNA was determined at *A*260 with the assumption that 1 unit of double-stranded DNA at *A*260 is equivalent to 50 mg/ml.

Extraction of DNA from soil

The optimized protocol developed in this study was based on previously described direct extraction methods for plant tissues containing *Verticillium* Pathogens (Nazar et al., 1991). As indicated in Figure 1, in the basic procedure, 0.25 g of soil sample is ground with liquid nitrogen by using a mortar and pestle for about 5 min or until a fine powder remains. The powdered soil is suspended in 0.5 ml of skim milk powder solution (0.1 g of milk powder in 25 ml of H_2O) by vigorous vortexing; for quantitative assays, internal control template DNA (usually 500 pg) is also added at this time. The soil and debris are removed by centrifugation at 48°C (12,000 3 g, 10 min), and the supernatant is mixed with 2 ml of SDS extraction buffer (0.3% SDS in 0.14 M NaCl, 50 mM sodium acetate, pH 5.1) by vortexing. An equal volume of water-saturated phenol solution is added; the phases are mixed by intermittent vortexing for 2 min at room temperature and then separated by centrifugation (12,000 3 g, 10 min). The nucleic acid in the aqueous phase is precipitated with 2.5 volumes of ethanol for several hours or overnight when convenient (Evangelista-Barreto et al. 2013). The precipitate is collected by centrifugation at 48°C, and the pellet is washed twice with ethanol with centrifugation between each rinse, and dried (Maltha et al. 2012).

PCR amplification of soil DNA extracts

Five microliters of DNA extract was assayed; usually, the extract was first diluted 50-fold to reduce or avoid inhibiting substances. PCR amplification was conducted and the PCR reaction mixture containing PCR buffer (normally, 50 mM KCl, 1.5 mM MgCl₂, 10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 9.0), 0.1% Triton X-100), 0.1 mg of bovine serum albumin (BSA) per ml, 0.2 mM each deoxyribonucleotide triphosphate, 12.5 pmol of each *V. dahliae*-specific oligonucleotide primer (Nazar et al., 1991), 2 U of *Taq* DNA polymerase (Promega Corp., Madison, Wis.), and the DNA extract. The primers were synthesized by using a Cyclone Plus automated oligonucleotide synthesizer (Milligen/Biosearch, Milford, Mass). The amplification was performed in a programmable block (Pharmacia Biotech, Uppsala, Sweden) by using 30 reaction cycles, each consisting of a 1 min denaturation step at 948°C, a 1 min annealing step at 608°C, and a 2 min elongation step at 728°C. For nested PCR amplifications, the first amplification was carried out with a second set of oligonucleotide primers (CTCATAACCCTTTGTGAACC and CCGAGGTCAACCGTTGCCG),

with target sites external to the standardized *V. dahliae*-specific primers which are used in the second amplification phase. The products of PCR amplification were analyzed after fractionation by agarose gel electrophoresis. Usually, 5 ml of the PCR reaction mixture was mixed with 2 ml of loading dye (5% SDS, 25% glycerol, 0.025% bromophenol blue), heated to 658°C for 1 to 3 min, and loaded on a 2% horizontal slab gel (McDonnell et al., 1977). The gel was stained for 40 min with ethidium bromide (0.5 mg/ml), rinsed with water, and visualized with a UV transilluminator (300 nm). For quantitative measurements, a chargecoupled device camera imaging system and Molecular Analyst/PC software (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, Calif.) were used to capture the image and to calculate the band intensities.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In this study, three potential problems were considered in the application of PCR amplification to soil samples: DNA losses due to degradation and adsorption as well as reaction inhibiting contaminants. In parallel, an attempt was made to maximize the simplicity of DNA extraction procedure. Because cell or DNA purification steps are especially labor-intensive, direct extraction after cell grinding in liquid nitrogen was adapted as a minimal method for cell disruption and DNA extraction. Previous experience had indicated that grinding in liquid nitrogen was entirely sufficient to disrupt both plant and fungal tissues (Nazar et al., 1991). In the present study, the soil actually provided additional abrasion in the cell disruption process and the use of liquid nitrogen allowed cell disruption under temperature conditions which minimized nucleic acid degradation. Usually the nucleic acid was extracted with SDS buffer phenol a very common nucleic acid extraction procedure for biochemical or genetic analyses which also had proven to be effective with plant and fungal tissues (Nazar et al., 1991). As illustrated by the examples shown in Figure 2 (gel A), when a target organism or an internal control template was added to soil samples such basic extracts often could not be adequately amplified directly (lane a), but some signal was occasionally detected even without dilution (lane b). In many cases, the signal strength could be increased significantly by further dilution of the extract (Figure 2, gel B) presumably because levels of inhibiting substances are reduced and PCR amplification remains sufficiently sensitive to permit the detection of target DNA. Because more-drastic disruption methods or conditions have previously been used for soil extracts, additional treatments also were examined; they included the use of an alkaline SDS extraction buffer, often used for DNA preparations (18); vortexing with glass beads; microwave heating or freeze-thawing to disrupt the cells (Steffan et al., 1988; Smalla et al., 1993); and additional extraction with acetone or acetonitrile to remove substances which may interfere with PCR amplification. As illustrated in the examples shown in Figure 3, none of these treatments was found to be beneficial and most actually reduced the signal or even eliminated it entirely. For example, an alkaline SDS buffer (gel B, lane b) resulted in much higher levels of inhibition, presumably because additional inhibitors were extracted under alkaline conditions, and boiling (gel A, lane b) led to much higher losses presumably because the DNA was degraded or adsorbed. Whatever the mechanism, these methods were not helpful and were not incorporated in the standardized protocol. Because soil sample signals often remained lower than those of equivalent DNA controls even when inhibiting substances were not detected, further efforts were made to eliminate losses due to absorption or degradation.

In many biochemical studies of various nucleic acids, adsorption and degradation are often minimized through the addition of nucleic acid carrier or other polyvalent polymers.

In hybridization analyses, for example, Denhardt Denhardt (1966), addressed this problem by incorporating a mixture of three carrier macromolecules: 1% BSA, 1% Ficoll (Pharmacia Biotech Inc., Uppsala, Sweden), and 1% polyvinylpyrrolidone, commonly referred to as Denhardt's solution.



Figure 1. Soil DNA extraction protocol.

To evaluate the possibility that such a solution or one of the constituents might significantly reduce or eliminate losses due to degradation or adsorption, the three components, both as a complete mixture and as individual components, were added to the soil immediately prior to the extraction buffer. As illustrated in Figure 4, all were often found to significantly improve the signal strength, and when the PCR product yield was compared with the yield of control reactions containing only equivalent amounts of target DNA (gel B, lane e), the recovery was clearly high, with little loss of target DNA. In fact, a slight increase in signal strength was often observed (e.g., gel B, lane b), possibly because the carriers further stabilize the *Taq* DNA polymerase or enhance the reaction by some other means. Because the constituents of Denhardt's solution are relatively expensive and not always readily available, a more common carrier was examined, namely, skim milk powder.

This substance has also been reported to be effective as a carrier in reducing background signals and clearly would be inexpensive and readily available. As shown in Figure 5, with the same soil sample as used in Figure 4, the results were again very satisfactory, with 0.1 g of milk powder per 25 ml of H₂O being an optimized concentration (lane b). Without milk powder virtually no signal was observed (Figure 4). Lower concentrations often resulted in a reduced signal strength (e.g., in lane a with 0.01 g of milk powder the signal is reduced by 42%), and higher concentrations resulted in streaking (e.g., lane c). Furthermore, as shown in Figure 6, when applied to typical farm soils from six diverse regions of Iran, a signal was sometimes detectable without dilution, but the signal strength was always strong when the extracts were diluted 50-fold prior to PCR amplification. As shown in Figure 7, the standard protocol (gel A) was equally successful with sand and fine gravel (lanes a, c, e, and g), but only trace or no signals were observed with clay (lanes b and f). As also shown in Figure 7, this problem could be partially overcome with the use of higher milk powder concentrations (gel B). Although, quantitative analyses could remain a problem with clay samples, the target DNA was detectable. Because control template DNA was used in developing the extraction protocol, key experiments were also repeated with microsclerotia, a highly resistant storage form of V. dahlia which is commonly found in soils. As illustrated in Figure 8, the conclusions were the same for both standard and nested PCR amplification.



Figure 2. PCR amplification of direct soil extracts. (A) Two farm soil samples from different regions containing 2 pg/g of control template DNA were extracted as described in Fig. 1 without skim milk powder, PCR amplified, and fractionated by agarose gel electrophoresis (lanes a and b). A reaction mixture containing an equivalent amount of purified template DNA is included in lane c. (B) A third soil sample containing control template DNA also was extracted, and both undiluted (lane a) and 50-fold diluted (lane b) extracts were PCR amplified and fractionated. A reaction mixture containing an equivalent amount of purified template DNA is included in lane c as an uninhibited control reaction and marker for the 294-bp product. The genomic DNA signal was relatively weak with the standard amplification protocol (gel A, lane a) but much stronger after nested PCR amplification (gel B, lane a). As previously noted by other investigators (Haqqi et al., 1988), the application of nested PCR provides for a more dramatic level of sensitivity and permits much higher levels of dilution and diagnostics which should be able to detect almost any level of microbe activity in soil samples.



Figure 3. Effect of additional treatments on the extraction and PCR amplification of soil DNA. (A) A liquid nitrogen-ground uninfected farm soil sample containing control template DNA (2 pg/g of soil) was treated further by vortexing with glass beads (lane a), by microwave heating (lane b), or by brief boiling (3 times) (lane c) before extraction and PCR amplification as described in the legend to Fig. 2. A reaction mixture containing an equivalent amount of purified template DNA is included in lane d. (B) A *V. dahliae*-infected farm soil sample containing control template DNA (4 mg/g of soil) was ground with liquid nitrogen and extracted with SDS-phenol (lane a) or alkaline SDS-phenol (lane b) and PCR amplified. A reaction mixture containing an equivalent amount of purified template DNA is included in lane d.

In summary, therefore, a rapid and cost-effective method to extract DNA directly from soil samples which can be utilized with PCR amplification to effectively detect specific soil organisms has been developed. Many PCR-based assays for specific organisms have already been developed and many more are certain to follow. The extraction procedure which is defined by this study should be applicable to many, if not all, of these specific assays, providing for accurate and efficient monitoring of these target organisms in soil. Extracts from samples containing large amounts of clay are less effective, but qualitative analyses are possible and the use of internal control templates should permit quantitative analyses as well.



Figure 4. Effect of Denhardt's solution on extraction and PCR amplification of soil DNA. Denhardt's solution (A) or the individual constituents (B) were added to 0.25 g of liquid nitrogen-ground soil containing 0.5 mg of target DNA, and the mixture was extracted with SDS-phenol as described in the legend of Figure 2. The extracted DNA was dissolved in 250 ml of water, and 5 ml aliquots of 50-folddiluted extract were PCR amplified before fractionation by agarose gel electrophoresis. (A) For the complete Denhardt's solution, lanes a and b represent extracts without and with Denhardt's solution, respectively. (B) For the individual components, lanes a to c represent extracts with 1% bovine serum albumin, 1% Ficoll, or 1% polyvinylpyrrolidone, respectively. Lanes d and e represent reaction mixtures with no macromolecular carrier added and with only an equivalent amount of purified target DNA, respectively.



Figure 5. Effect of skim milk powder on extraction and PCR amplification of soil DNA. Skim milk powder solution was added to 0.25 g of liquid nitrogenground soil containing 0.5 mg of target DNA, and the mixture was extracted with SDS-phenol as described in figure 1. The extracted DNA was dissolved in 250 ml of water, and 5 ml aliquots of 50 fold-diluted extract were PCR amplified before agarose gel fractionation. Lanes a to c represent extractions with 0.01, 0.1 and 1 g of milk powder per 25 ml of water, respectively, and lane d contains a reaction mixture with an equivalent amount of purified target DNA.

J. Biol. Chem. Research



Figure 6. Extraction of DNA from soils of diverse origins. (A) Target DNA was added to six different farm soil samples (lanes b to g) from diverse areas in Canada, and 0.25 g samples containing 0.5 mg of target DNA were extracted with SDS-phenol as described in figure 1. Undiluted (A) and 50 fold-diluted (B) extracts were PCR amplified, and the reaction products were fractionated by agarose gel electrophoresis. Reaction mixtures with no extract (lanes a) and an equivalent aliquot of target DNA (lanes h) are included.



Figure 7. Extraction and PCR amplification of DNA from shoreline samples. (A) Control template DNA was added to sand, clay, or gravel taken from a lake shoreline, and 0.25 g samples containing 0.5 mg of control template DNA were extracted with SDS-phenol as described in figure 1. Undiluted extracts (lanes a toc) and 50 fold-diluted (lanes e to g) extracts were PCR amplified before fractionation by agarose gel electrophoresis. Reaction mixtures containing equivalent amounts of purified target DNA are included (lanes d and h, respectively). (B) A clay sample of milk powder containing target DNA was further extracted by using 1 g/25 ml of water, and undiluted (lane b) or 50 fold-diluted (lane d) aliquots were PCR amplified. Reaction mixtures containing equivalent amounts of purified target DNA are included (lane d) extract is included (lanes c and e, respectively), and a reaction mixture without extract is included (lane a).



Figure 8. Extraction and PCR amplification of DNA from soil containing microsclerotia of *V. dahliae*. An internal control template was added to farm soil (0.25 g) containing 1 mg of microsclerotia which was extracted as described in figure 1 and PCR amplified by using a standard (A, lane a) or nested (B, lane b) PCR protocol before fractionation by agarose gel electrophoresis. With 30 cycles of *V. dahliae*-specific amplification (lane a), a soil extract without microsclerotia and a reaction mixture with an equivalent aliquot of control template are included (lanes b and c, respectively). By nested PCR with two 30 cycle amplifications (lane a), a reaction mixture with only the second phase of *V. dahliae* specific amplification template are included (lanes b and containing an equivalent aliquot of control template are included (lanes b and containing an equivalent aliquot of control template are included (lanes b and containing an equivalent aliquot of control template are included (lanes b and containing an equivalent aliquot of control template are included (lanes b and containing an equivalent aliquot of control template are included (lanes b and containing an equivalent aliquot of control template are included (lanes b and containing an equivalent aliquot of control template are included (lanes b and containing an equivalent aliquot of control template are included (lanes b and containing an equivalent aliquot of control template are included (lanes b and containing an equivalent aliquot of control template are included (lanes b and containing an equivalent aliquot of control template are included (lanes b and containing an equivalent aliquot of control template are included (lanes b and containing an equivalent aliquot of control template are included (lanes b and containing an equivalent aliquot of control template are included (lanes b and containing an equivalent aliquot of control template are included (lanes b and containing an equivalent aliquot of control template are included (lanes b and containi

CONCLUSION

Limitations of PCR and RT-PCR

The PCR reaction starts to generate copies of the target sequence exponentially. Only during the exponential phase of the PCR reaction is it possible to extrapolate back to determine the starting quantity of the target sequence contained in the sample. Because of inhibitors of the polymerase reaction found in the sample, reagent limitation, accumulation of pyrophosphate molecules, and self-annealing of the accumulating product, the PCR reaction eventually ceases to amplify target sequence at an exponential rate and a "plateau effect" occurs, making the end point quantification of PCR products unreliable. This is the attribute of PCR that makes Real-Time Quantitative RT-PCR so necessary (Figure 9). Such as hot springs — paved the way for dramatic improvements of the PCR method, the genetic differences observed through RFLP analysis of the PCR amplified nuclear rDNA IGS region and mitochondrial SSU rRNA gene indicated intra specific variability in V. dahliae, separating isolates from olive from those in other hosts. Further research, using a more representative set of isolates, including cross pathogenicity studies with all isolates, and full length sequencing of PCR products, will be necessary to determine if intra specific groups continue to correlate with the plant hosts. If so, the observed polymorphism may be employed for development of a molecular diagnostic for V. dahlia.

The PCR results reported here provide further evidence to support this with products from both bacterial and fungal elements of the soil microbiota being obtained. The bead beating direct lysis method described the extracts between 1.5 and 2.35 mg/ml of DNA from 100 g of soil or 15 to 23.5 μ g DNA/g soil. Extraction methods using small soil samples ranging from 5 g to 100 mg of soil have extracted 9 to 25 μ g DNA/g soil, 12 μ g/g, 1 to 100 μ g/g, and 2.5 to 26.9 μ g/g. The method described here is therefore at least as efficient as the above methods



Figure 9. Show PCR how it works.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Semnan University of Iran from grant and for excellent technical support.

REFERENCES

- Cheng, S., Fockler, C., Barnes, W.M. and Higuchi, R. (1994). "Effective Amplification of Long Targets from Cloned Inserts and Human Genomic DNA". *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 91 (12): 5695–5699.
- Chien, A., Edgar, D.B. and Trela, J.M. (1976). "Deoxyribonucleic acid polymerase from the extreme thermophile Thermus aquaticus". *J. Bacteriol* 174 (3): 1550–1557
- **Costa, M.J., Rosário, E., Langa, A., António, G., Bendriss, A. and Nery, S.V. (2012).** Setting up a Demographic Surveillance System in the Dande Municipality Angola. *African Population Studies*; 26 (2): 133–146.
- **Denhardt, D. (1966).** A membrane filter technique for the detection of complementary DNA. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 23:641–646.
- **Evangelista-Barreto, N.S. Pereira, A.F., Da Silva, R. A. R. and Ferreira, L. T. B. (2013).** "Carne de siri como veículo na disseminação de enteropatógenos resistentes aos antimicrobianos," *Acta Pesca*, Vol. 1, pp. 45–56.
- Francis, D., Gasasira, A., Kigozi, R., Kigozi, S., Nasr, S., Kamya, M.R. and Dorsey, G. (2012). Health facility-based malaria surveillance: the effects of age, area of residence and diagnostics on test positivity rates. *Malar J.*; 11:229. doi: 10.1186/1475.
- Faegri, A., Torsvik, V.L. and Goksoyr, J. (1977). Bacterial and fungal activities in soil: separation of bacteria by a rapid centrifugation technique. *Soil. Biol. Biochem*. 9:105– 112.
- Haqqi, T.M., Sarkar, G., David, C.S. and Sommer, S.S. (1988). Specific amplification with PCR of a refractory segment of genomic DNA. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 16:11844–11850.
- Holben, W.E., Jansson, J. K., Chelm, B.K. and Tiedje, J.M. (1983). DNA probe method for the detection of specific microorganisms in the soil bacterial community. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol*. 54:703–711.
- Holmes, D. S. and Quigley, M. (1981). A rapid method of preparation of bacterial plasmids. *Anal. Biochem.* 114:193–197.
- Hsiang, M.S., Hwang, J., Kunene, S., Drakeley, C., Kandula, D., Novotny, J., Parizo, J., Jensen, T., Tong, M., Kemere, J, Dlamini, S., Moonen, B., Angov, E., Dutta, S., Ockenhouse, C., Dorsey, G. and Greenhouse, B. (2012). Surveillance for malaria elimination in Swaziland: a national cross-sectional study using pooled PCR and serology. *PLoS One*. 7:e29550. doi:
- Hu, X., Nazar, R.N. and Robb, J. (1993). Quantification of *Verticillium* bio- mass in wilt disease development. *Physiol. Mol. Plant Pathol.* 42:23–36.
- Jayme, M.M.A. (2014). Avaliação microbiológica, detecção e susceptibilidade a antimicrobianos de potenciais enteropatógenos das famílias Enterobacteriaceae e Vibrionaceae em mexilhões Perna perna da praia de Itaipu, Niterói-RJ [M.S. thesis], Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro, Faculdade de Ciências Médicas.
- Maltha, J., Gamboa, D., Bendezu, J., Sanchez, L., Cnops, L., Gillet, P. and Jacobs, J. (2012). Rapid diagnostic tests for malaria diagnosis in the Peruvian Amazon: impact of *pfhrp2* gene deletions and cross-reactions. PLoS One; 7:e43094. doi:

- McDonnell, M.W., Simon, M.N. and Studier FW (1977). Analysis of restriction fragments of T7DNA and determination of molecular weights by electrophoresis in neutral and alkaline gels. J. Mol. Biol. 110:119–124.
- Nazar, R.N., Hu, X., Schmidt, J., Culham, D. and Robb, E.J. (1991). Potential use of PCRamplified ribosomal intergenic sequences in the detection and differentiation of verticillium wilt pathogens. *Physiol. Mol. Plant Pathol.* 39: 1–11.
- Picard, C., Ponsonnet, C., Paget, E., Nesme, X. and Simonet, P. (1992). Detection and enumeration of bacteria in soil by direct DNA extraction and polymerase chain reaction. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 58:2717–2722.
- Pillai, S. D., Josephenson, K.L., Bailey, R.L., Gerba, C. P. and Pepper, I. L. (1991). Rapid method for processing soil samples for polymerase chain reaction amplification of specific gene sequences. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 57: 2283–2286.
- Radloff, R., Bauer, W. and Vinograd, J. (1967). A dye-buoyant-density method for the detection and isolation of closed circular duplex DNA: the closed circular DNA in HeLa cells. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* USA 57:1514–1520.
- Robb, J., Hu, X., Platt, H. and Nazar, R.N. (1994). PCR assays for the detection and quantification of *Verticillium* species in potato, p. 83–90. *In* M. Dewey, R. Oliver, and A. Schots (ed.), Modern detection assays for plant pathogenic fungi. *CAB International*, The Netherlands.
- Rogers, S.O. and Bendich, A. J. (1985). Extraction of DNA from milligram amounts of fresh, herbarium and mummified plant tissues. *Plant Mol. Biol.* 5:69–76.
- Steffan, R.J., Goksoyr, J., Bej, A.R. and Atlas, R.M. (1988). Recovery of DNA from soils and sediments. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 54:2908–2915.
- Tuite, J. (1969). Plant pathological methods: fungi and bacteria. Burgess Publishing Co., Minneapolis, Minn.

Corresponding author: Dr. Hamid Kheyrodin, Faculty of Desert Science-Semnan University, Iran

Email: hkhyrodin@yahoo.com and hamid.kheyrodin@semnan.ac.ir